

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,099	04/21/2004	Norio Imaoka	9319S-000768	6003
27572 7590 09/02/2005			EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EDMONDSON, I	LYNNE RENEE
	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/829,099	IMAOKA, NORIO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lynne Edmondson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/17	<u>//05</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (US 2005/0132753 A1).

Shimizu teaches a reflow device comprising a drive mechanism (120) for moving heater (122) back and forth and a conveyor (119) for carrying the work (figures 30 and 44 and paragraphs 154-158). As the conveyor and heater are controlled they can be moved at different speeds and away from each other (paragraphs 185-186). The heater moves at a constant speed of 2mm/min to 65 mm/min (paragraphs 176 and 187) and the work moves at a speed of 8.6 mm/min (paragraph 201).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lakra et al. (US 2002/0023946 A1).

Lakra teaches a reflow device comprising a drive mechanism for moving heater (18) back and forth and a carrier (for tunnel oven, paragraph 29) for carrying the work (figures 5-7). As the conveyor typically moves in a single forward or reverse direction

Application/Control Number: 10/829,099 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

and the heater moves in multiple directions, they can be moved away from each other.

The heater moves at a constant speed (paragraph 38) to raise the temperature in accordance with the predetermined heating profile (paragraphs 46-48).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koopmans (USPN 6845901 B2).

Koopmans teaches a reflow device comprising a controlled, moving heater (290, figure 1B and col 5 lines 10-36) and a conveyor (650) for moving the work (col 7 lines 33-54). As the conveyor and heater are controlled they can be moved at different speeds and away from each other. However there is no disclosure of moving the heater at a constant velocity or in an opposite direction.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to move the conveyor opposite the carrying direction of the heater as is conventional practice and that since a computer program control is used, the control can move the devices at any speed.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,099 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kolesar et al. (USPN 4817851) and Rae et al. (USPN 6768083 B2).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

**LRE**